

The Critical Inquiry Process: (expanded version)

Steps:

1. Identify the critical inquiry question (CIQ) to be resolved:

- a. Definition: *CIQs are questions that require students to do critical inquiry in order to form a reasoned judgment based on a critical evaluation of relevant reasons.* (Bailin and Battersby, 2010)
- b. *Guiding questions for teacher:*
 - i. What is the issue to be explored?
 - ii. How can I translate that issue into a critical inquiry question (hereafter: **CIQ**)?
 - iii. What are some relevant related questions that will help my students address that **CIQ**?
 1. For explicit instruction on crafting critical inquiry questions, see:
<http://www.learnalberta.ca/content/ssocirm/pdf/embeddingcriticalthinkingintoteachingandlearning.pdf>
a. (pages 3-6)
- c. **Teacher note:*
 - i. While the teacher prepares critical inquiry and related questions beforehand, it is best to brainstorm them with the entire class.
 - ii. Important planning note: within the unit, each individual lesson's focus is a *related question* the students answer in order to address the **CIQ**.

2. Generate working resolutions and arguments:

- a. *Guiding questions for teacher:*
 - i. What are my students' working resolutions to the **CIQ** *at this time*?
 1. Students identify their:
 - a. working resolutions, and
 - b. two or three supporting reasons (premises)
 - i. **argument mapping** will help students complete this task:
<http://www.jostwald.com/ArgumentMapping/ARGUMENT%20MAPPING.pdf>
- b. **Teacher note:*
 - i. **Formative assessment opportunity:** after the brainstorming session, students individually complete their argument maps, and hand them in at the end of class.
 1. This offers the teacher a way of seeing whether or not the students have constructed an actual argument; one with a conclusion and supporting reasons (premises).

- ii. At this point, the teacher should do explicit instruction in basic argument identification.

3. Identify learning needs:

a. Guiding questions for teacher:

- i. What information do my students need to address the unit's **CIQ** and its related questions?
- ii. Where might my students find that information?
 - 1. Textbooks
 - 2. Library
 - 3. Internet
 - 4. People
- iii. How can I help my students best organize that information?
 - 1. Note-taking templates
 - 2. Retrieval charts
 - 3. Concept maps

*b. *Teacher note:*

- i. All class materials need to be prepared beforehand.
- ii. Also, as formative assessment, the teacher should take in a sample of retrieval charts and concept maps to ensure students are on the right track, especially at the beginning and mid-point of the unit.

4. Identify relevant new knowledge:

a. Guiding questions for teacher:

- i. What did my students learn that could help them resolve the **CIQ**?
 - 1. Ask students to refer to information recorded on retrieval charts and note-taking templates.

*b. *Teacher note:*

- i. Again, while the teacher prepares the relevant information beforehand, it is best to have students share the information they've retrieved in a large group discussion.
- ii. Any relevant information not shared in that discussion should be provided by the teacher.

5. Re-visit CIQ and working resolution with new knowledge:

a. Guiding questions for teacher:

- i. How does this new information impact my students' working resolution to the **CIQ**?
 - 1. Herein, students apply new knowledge to:
 - a. working resolution to the **CIQ**
 - b. supporting reasons for that working resolution (premises)
- ii. Have my students' re-constructed argument maps based on new knowledge?

- a. Students should be revising their earlier working resolutions to the CIQ.

b. *Teacher note:*

- i. As a formative assessment opportunity, the teacher takes in revised argument maps to ensure students are making apt revisions.
- ii. At this stage, the teacher should *do explicit instruction in argument identification and assessment* (validity, soundness and basic formal and informal fallacies)
 1. See:
<http://www.wwnorton.com/college/phil/logic3/ch5/>
- iii. Note: explicit use of intellectual standards is useful when helping students revise their argument maps.
 1. See: <http://www.criticalthinking.org/pages/universal-intellectual-standards/527>

6. Students translate their revised argument maps into text-based formats:

a. *Guiding questions for teacher:*

- i. What written products do I want my students producing in order to communicate their working resolutions to the CIQ?
 1. For example: 5 paragraph essay, position paper, blog, Op-Ed piece in a newspaper, etc.

b. **Teacher note:*

- i. Teachers should take in students' argument maps along with their text-based assignments.

7. Communicate ideas to others:

a. *Guiding questions for teacher:*

- i. How can my students show others those written products?
 1. Examples:
 - a. Class presentation
 - b. Poster session
 - c. Small-group sharing sessions
 - d. Blog activity

8. Individually and collectively brainstorm related issues needing further critical inquiry:

a. *Guiding questions for teacher:*

- i. What further issues need to be resolved?
- ii. How might my students go about resolving them?
- iii. What further questions need to be raised and answered?

b. **Teacher Note:*

- i.* The teacher brainstorms questions and issues with the entire class but should prepare a list of related questions and issues beforehand.

The Critical inquiry process (synopsis)

Steps:

- 1. Identify the critical inquiry question (CIQ) to be resolved**
 - a. Teacher translates issue into CIQ.
 - b. Teacher introduces CIQ to students, gives important background information, and organizes activities exploring meaning and relevance of CIQ.

- 2. Generate working resolutions and arguments**
 - a. Students identify initial answer to CIQ
 - i. Herein, teacher gets students to identify their working resolutions to CIQ, and expand them into arguments using reasoning maps.

- 3. Identify learning needs**
 - a. Teacher and class identify knowledge needed to address CIQ.

- 4. Identify relevant new knowledge**
 - a. Students explore relevant learning resources:
 - i. Viewing activities, reading materials, large and small group discussions, etc.

- 5. Re-visit working resolution with new knowledge**
 - a. Students apply new learning to their argument developed in Step 2.
 - i. Herein, students revise their reasoning maps.

- 6. Students translate their revised argument maps into text-based formats**
 - a. Students write 5-paragraph essays, position papers, etc.

- 7. Communicate ideas to others**
 - a. Students present arguments in some public manner.

- 8. Individually and collectively brainstorm related issues needing further critical inquiry**
 - a. Students brainstorm further issues need to be addressed, and how the class might go about doing that.

(

(

(